

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/688,459	09/688,459 10/13/2000		Dirk M. Anderson	2852-C	1630		
22932	7590	10/14/2003		EXAMINER			
	IMMUNEX CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT				O HARA, EILEEN B		
51 UNIVER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 9810	1		DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/688,459	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Eileen O'Hara	1646	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
I HE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replimate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a realise the application to become ARANDO	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u>		is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prospection as to the marks :-	
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 36-90 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>36,38,40,42,44,46,48,50,52,54,56,58</u> ,	<u>60,62,64,66,68-71,75-78,80-84</u>	and 86-90 is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s) <u>37,39,41,43,45,47,49,51,53,55,57,59</u> ,			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		t	
.0,				
11)□ T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappr		
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.	
12) T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C \$ 140/	n) (d) n= (5)	
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority drider 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion Ne	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			
	application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	<u>-</u>	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) (☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been red	ceived.	
ttachment(s		. ,		
) 🔲 Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trad OL-326 (Rev	0.4.0.43	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 13	

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Office Action mailed Sept. 30, 2003 is vacated, and is replaced with the current office action, with a new statutory time for response.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 36-90 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification describes a murine polypeptide sequence consisting of SEQ ID NO: 11 and a human polypeptide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 13, which are 85% identical and are shown to have the following activities: binding RANK and upregulation of the transcription factor NF-κB. However, the claims as written include nucleic acids encoding polypeptides comprising homologues, and encompass polypeptides that can vary substantially in amino acid composition. The instant disclosure of a two orthologs, that of SEQ ID NO: 11 and 13 with the instantly disclosed specific activities, does not adequately support the scope of the claimed genus, which encompasses a substantial variety of subgenera. A genus claim may be

Art Unit: 1646

supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co*, 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which states:

"To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention". Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1980) ("[T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed.") Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. Fiers v. Revel, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606."

A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. The instant specification discloses, however, two isolated orthologs of SEQ ID NOS: 11 and 13. Protein function, however, cannot be reliably predicted from protein sequence homology. For example, Transforming Growth Factor (TGF-beta) Family

Art Unit: 1646

Page 4

OP-1 induces metanephrongenesis whereas closely related TGF-beta family members-BMP-2 and TGF-betal-have no effect on metanephrogenesis under identical conditions (Vukicevic et al., 1996, PNAS USA 93:9021-9026). Platelet-derived Growth Factor (PDGF) Family VEGF, a member of the PDGF family, is mitogenic for vascular endothelial cells but not for vascular smooth muscle cells while PDGF is mitogenic for vascular smooth muscle cells but not for vascular endothelial cells (Tischer et al., U.S. Patent 5,194,596, column 2, line 46 to column 3, line 2). Finally, vertebrate growth hormone of 198 amino acids becomes an antagonist (inhibitor of growth) when a single amino acid is changed (Kopchick et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,350,836). Even 99% homology does not allow predictability in this instance. Given the unpredictability of homology comparisons, and the fact that the specification fails to provide objective evidence that the additional sequences are indeed species of the claimed genus it cannot be established that a representative number of species have been disclosed to support the genus claim. No activity is set forth for the additional sequences. The instantly claimed genus is not so limited and the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings to enable one of skill to identify the polynucleotides encompassed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 72 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 72 and 73 are indefinite because they encompass a nucleic acid molecule which hybridizes under the conditions recited in the claims. The specification describes various hybridization and wash conditions, and the wash conditions are important to the final hybridization results obtained. Without the wash conditions, the claims are considered indefinite, since the resulting claims do not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67, 72-74, 79 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gorman et al., US Patent No. 6,242,586, effective filing date Dec. 13, 1996 (60/032,846).

Claims 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67, 72-74, 79 and 85 encompass isolated DNA molecules comprising nucleotides 357-884 or 417-872 of SEQ ID NO: 10 or encoding a polypeptide that comprises amino acids 119-294 or 139-290 of SEQ ID NO: 11, expression vectors comprising the DNA, host cells comprising the vectors, process for recombinantly producing protein, nucleic acid which selectively hybridizes to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 10, an isolated nucleic acid comprising a fragment from 17-30 nucleotides of a

Art Unit: 1646

SEQ ID NO: 10, an isolated nucleic acid comprising a fragment from 17-30 nucleotides of a nucleic acid molecule that encodes the protein of SEQ ID NO: 11 which is a hybridization probe and kit comprising the probe.

Page 6

Gorman et al. disclose a nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 1) that is 99.1% identical to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 10 of the instant invention and that is identical to the open reading frame of SEQ ID NO: 10 of the instant invention except for one mismatch (nucleotide 232 of SEQ ID NO: 10) and encodes a protein (SEQ ID NO: 2) that is identical to the protein of SEQ ID NO: 11 of the instant invention except for one mismatch at amino acid 77. This nucleic acid would selectively hybridize to the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 10 under the recited conditions. Gorman et al. also teach expression vectors, host cells and , process for recombinantly producing protein (Example 3), hybridization probes and kits comprising such probes (column 3, lines 25-47, column 10, lines 1-4, column 14, lines 12-25, column 17, lines 6-31, column 20, lines 30-34, column 25, lines 20-42, claims 10, 32, 33). Therefore, Gorman et al. anticipates the claims.

Conclusion

- 6.1 Claims 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 69-71, 75-78, 80-84 and 86-90 are allowed.
- 6.2 Claims 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67 72-74, 79 and 85 are rejected.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers Before Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9306.

Official papers After Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Foon B.O Ware

Patent Examiner